	Case 2:23-cv-00006-DJC-EFB Documer	nt 13 Filed 09/26/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JEREMY PINSON,	No. 2:23-cv-0006-DJC-EFB-PC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER DIRECTING TRANSFER OF VENUE
14	FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, et	
15	al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a federal prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> , has filed the instant civil rights	
19	action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C.	
20	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On June 1, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and Recommendations	
22	(ECF No. 11) herein that were served on Plaintiff and that contained notice to Plaintiff	
23	that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within 14	
24	days. Plaintiff filed his Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order and Findings and	
25	Recommendations on June 12, 2023. (See ECF No. 12.)	
26 27	Consistent with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304,	
27 28	this Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court DECLINES TO ADOPT the Findings and Recommendations.	
۷۷	entire life, the Court DECLINES TO ADOI	Ture i mamys and Necommendations.
		1

## Case 2:23-cv-00006-DJC-EFB Document 13 Filed 09/26/23 Page 2 of 2

The Magistrate Judge correctly identified federal comity and the first-to-file rule
at issue here but recommended dismissal. (See ECF No. 11 at 3-4 and n.4 (citing
Barapind v. Reno, 72 F. Supp 2d 1132, 1144 (E.D. Cal. 1999)).) Plaintiff, however,
asked that two out of the three causes of action raising a First Amendment retaliation
claim and a Federal Torts Claim Act claim be transferred to the first-filed action in the
District of Arizona to the extent the Eighth Amendment claim brought in this case is
found duplicative of the Eighth Amendment claim brought in the District of Arizona.
(See ECF No. 12 at 2-3 (citing ECF Nos. 8-10).) Given the potential venue issues here,
the Court finds it more appropriate to transfer the case to the District of Arizona,
where the District Judge is more familiar with the facts of the case and can more ably
determine whether or not the case should proceed past the screening stage. See,
e.g., Kohn Law Group, Inc. v. Auto Parts Mfg. Miss., Inc., 787 F.3d 1237 (9th Cir. 2015)
("When applying the first-to-file rule, courts should be driven to maximize 'economy,
consistency, and comity." (citation omitted)).

Therefore, the Court DECLINES TO ADOPT the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 11). IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter be transferred to Judge Rosemary Marquez of the District of Arizona. *See* Case No. 22-cv-00298-RM.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 25, 2023

Hon. Daniel J Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE